

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

Allowable Subject Matter

Applicants are pleased to note that claims 3, 9, 10, 15 to 26 and 28 to 31 were indicated to be allowed on page 1 and page 5 of the Office Action.

Rejection Under 35 USC 102

Claims 1, 12 and 13 were rejected under 35 USC 102 as being anticipated by Igarashi (USP 6,558,819).

Claims 1, 12 and 13 were canceled hereinabove. Therefore, this rejection is moot.

Withdrawal of the 35 USC 102 rejection is respectfully requested.

Rejections Under 35 USC 103

Claims 1 and 11 were rejected under 35 USC 103 as being unpatentable over Igarashi (USP 6,558,819) in view of Nakagawa et al (US 2004/0124766).

Claims 1 and 11 were canceled hereinabove. Therefore, this rejection is moot.

Claims 1 and 14 were rejected under 35 USC 103 as being unpatentable over Igarashi (USP 6,558,819) in view of Lee (US 2002/0079838).

Claims 1 and 14 were canceled hereinabove. Therefore, this rejection is moot.

Withdrawal of each of the 35 USC 103 rejections is respectfully requested.

Appl. No. 10/568,967  
Reply to Office Action of August 3, 2010

In view of the above, the rejections are avoided. Allowance of the application is therefore respectfully requested.

Frishauf, Holtz, Goodman  
& Chick, P.C.  
220 Fifth Ave., 16th Floor  
New York, NY 10001-7708  
Tel. No.: (212) 319-4900  
Fax No.: (212) 319-5101  
RSB/fs

Respectfully submitted,



RICHARD S. BARTH  
Reg. No. 28,180